Harmonic spinors on axisymmetric 3-manifolds with Melvin ends

A.K.M. Masood-ul-Alam[♭], Qizhi Wang[♯]

arXiv:1407.3529v3 [math-ph] 17 Feb 2015

We prove the existence of harmonic spinor fields in axisymmetric Riemannian 3-manifolds having nonnegative scalar curvature and asymptotic to the usual constant time hypersurface of Melvin's magnetic universe. Such a spinor can be used in the proof of the uniqueness of the magnetized Schwarzschild solution.

MSC2010. 53C21, 53C24, 53Z05
Key words. Dirac equation, cylindrical ends, magnetic universe

1. Introduction

It appears that there are not many works on the Dirac equation on an asymptotically cylindrical Riemannian manifold, although the Laplacian and similar elliptic differential operators on complete manifolds with warped cylindrical ends have been studied (Lockhart and McOwen [1], Ma and McOwen [2] and references cited therein). On the other hand harmonic spinors are important tools for proving rigidity and uniqueness results in differential geometry and in the study of time-symmetric black-hole solutions of Einstein equation. Many of the physical problems in potential theory originate under the assumption of isolated bodies surrounded by empty space and under this setting asymptotically flat assumption naturally arises. Strictly speaking in the physical world existence of a magnetic field is more natural than empty space. Speaking very roughly the solenoidal nature of the magnetic field relates to lack of asymptotic spherical symmetry. Also one of the reasons for assuming asymptotical flatness is that it can provide finite energy and hence stable solutions. Magnetic fields provide an example of an infinite energy solution stable under radial perturbations. Thus in the Melvin magnetic universe (MMU) solution of Einstein-Maxwell equations diverging coaxial tubes of magnetic lines of force are held together by gravitational attraction in such a way that under a radial perturbation they neither collapse nor explode but settle down to the

original solution. MMU is not a finite energy solution using a physically reasonable definition of energy. Its "constant time" hypersurface is not an asymptotically flat 3-manifold. We want to solve the Dirac equation on a Riemannian 3-manifold which is in some sense asymptotically Melvin. An asymptotically flat Riemannian 3-manifold has a concept of mass when the decay to flatness is reasonably rapid (Bartnik [5]). This concept is of differential geometric origin though it has been discovered in the study of physics and it corresponds to the energy of an appropriate spacetime. The positive mass theorem of Schoen and Yau [6] says that the mass is nonnegative if the scalar curvature is nonnegative and gives a rigidity result for $\mathbb{R}^3$ when the mass is zero.

Thorne [7, 8] has defined a concept of energy (C-energy) for a finite region of a cylindrical spacetime. Radinschi and Yang [9] considered another concept of such (quasi-local) energy for MMU. Although MMU has infinite energy comparing it with the magnetized Schwarzschild solution an asymptotically Melvin (defined rigorously later) Riemannian 3-manifold can be assigned a mass-like parameter using the decay coefficient of the metric. It is the coefficient of a term of faster decay. While in the absence field equations giving more information on the Ricci curvature we could not prove the positivity of this decay coefficient assuming only the nonnegativity of the scalar curvature, Weitzenbök-Lichnerowicz identity applied to the harmonic spinor of appropriate decay gives a positive mass type theorem involving the decay coefficient of the term of slower decay. This parameter gives the magnetic field in the physical spacetime. Our formula gives this asymptotic parameter in terms of the harmonic spinor weighted scalar curvature integral and the integral for the norm of the covariant derivative of the spinor.

In this paper we have not considered higher dimensions and all types of cylindrical ends. We also did not look for optimal generalizations of the parameters of the weighted Sobolev spaces involved. These generalizations and related boundary value problems will be studied elsewhere by one of the authors (QW). Here we want to show the steps involved in our proofs by considering not too technical problems and we keep the article readable by multi-disciplinary researchers. One application of the existence of a harmonic spinor we prove here occurs in the proof of the uniqueness of the magnetized Schwarzschild solution in [10] where this existence is assumed without proof. In fact existence of such harmonic spinors may be useful in the hitherto unsolved problems of extending the black hole uniqueness theorems ([11]) in many other magnetized worlds.

2. Preliminaries

We consider a smooth complete Riemannian 3-manifold $(\Sigma, \hat{g})$ having the metric of the form

$$\hat{g} = \bar{g} + Xd\phi^2$$

where the function X and the 2-metric $\bar{g}$ are independent of the coordinate ϕ. $(\partial/\partial\phi)$ is a Killing vector field and ϕ is a globally defined function except on the fixed point set of the isometry. We assume that the orbits of this Killing vector field are closed with period 2π. We shall call such a metric axisymmetric and the fixed point set is the axis of symmetry. In general we say a complete Riemannian 3-manifold with metric h has ends if outside a compact subset K it is a finite union of disjoint sets U_i each diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B$, B being a closed ball. Here i counts the number of ends. Let b be a nonnegative constant. We say that $\hat{g}$ is asymptotically Melvin with parameter b if at any end U w.r.t. the Euclidean spherical coordinates $\{r, \theta, \phi\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B$, h has the form of $\hat{g}$ with

$$\bar{g} = (1 + v_1)F^2(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2), \tag{2.1}$$

$$X = (1 + v_2)F^{-2}r^2 \sin^2\theta \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$F = 1 + br^2 \sin^2\theta,$$

and $v_1, v_2 \in W^{2,p}_{-\tau+1}$ for large enough q and $\tau > 1/2$. For the existence of harmonic spinors the restrictions on p and τ are not optimal. We assume $p \geq 4$ so that geodesic equation at each point of the tangent bundle has a unique solution. We shall define the weighted Sobolev spaces later. They say for $i = 1, 2$

$$v_i = O(r^{-1}), \partial v_i = O(r^{-2}),\ \partial^2 v_i \in L^p_{-\tau-2}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B)$$

where the last conditions imply that in some sense $\partial^2 v_i = o(r^{-\tau-2})$. In some applications we can take out the $O(r^{-1})$ term from v_1 and then we assume

$$\bar{g} = (1 + v_3)F^2\left((1 - 2M/r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2\right) \tag{2.3}$$

where M is a constant and $v_3 \in W^{2,p}_{-\tau}$.

$W^{2,p}_{\delta} \equiv W^{2,p}_{\hat{g},\delta}$ is the space of measurable $\mathbb{C}^2$ valued functions u in $L^p_{\rm loc}$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma,\hat{g}} \left| \frac{\partial^{|l|}}{\partial x^{l_1}\partial x^{l_2}\partial x^{l_3}} u \right|^p \left(\sqrt{1+r^2} \right)^{-\delta p+|l|p-3} \tag{2.4}$$

are finite for $|l| = 0, 1, 2$. Here $l = \{l_1, l_2, l_3\}$ is the multi-index. For $u = (u^1, u^2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ we have $|u|^2 = u^1\overline{u^1} + u^2\overline{u^2}$. $\dfrac{\partial^{|l|}}{\partial x^{l_1}\partial x^{l_2}\partial x^{l_3}}$ means an l-th weak partial derivative. Powers of $\sqrt{1+r^2}$ is included to specify the decay rate as $r \to \infty$. Since r is not defined outside U we interpret this factor to be a positive function in the subset $\Sigma \setminus U$.

By Melvin's 3-metric we mean the following metric.

$$g_{\rm MMU} = \left(1 + (1/4)B^2r^2\sin^2\theta\right)^2 (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2) + \left(1 + (1/4)B^2r^2\sin^2\theta\right)^{-2} r^2\sin^2\theta d\phi^2.$$

It is the 3-metric induced on the "constant time" hypersurface of Melvin's magnetic universe. Since we shall work mainly with $SU(2)$ spinors (two-component spinors) in 3-dimension we give the definition of weighted Sobolev spaces for $\mathbb{C}^2$-valued functions. We shall denote the spaces for the real-valued functions by the same notation because it will be clear from the context what we mean. Since we shall be working with spinors for two Riemannian metrics $\hat{g}$ and g it is better to stress that we shall be using the same $\mathbb{C}^2$ fields for our spinors. Thus locally the "square" of a $\mathbb{C}^2$ field ξ will correspond to two local vector fields on the manifold both vector fields having the same components but in two different sets of orthonormal basis vector fields, orthonormal respectively in the metrics g and $\hat{g}$. We shall denote by $\{\hat{e}_i\}$ the orthonormal basis for $\hat{g}$ and by $\{e_i\}$ the orthonormal basis for g. We do not need to explicitly state ξ as g-spinor or $\hat{g}$-spinor because the operators acting on it will have the subscript g or $\hat{g}$. Thus when we write $D_g\xi$ we understand that ξ is a g-spinor. For spinor $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ the norm is denoted by $\|\xi\|^2 = \xi^1\overline{\xi^1} + \xi^2\overline{\xi^2}$. The integral in Eq. (2.4) has the volume form of $\hat{g}$. Thus we define the $W^{2,p}_{\delta}$ norm for functions on $(\Sigma, \hat{g})$ by

$$\|u\|_{\hat{g},2,p,\delta} = \sum_{|l|=0}^{|l|=3} \left(\int \left| \frac{\partial^{|l|}}{\partial x^{l_1}\partial x^{l_2}\partial x^{l_3}} u \right|^p \left(\sqrt{1+r^2} \right)^{-\delta p+|l|p-3} \sqrt{\det \hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.5}$$

$\|u\|_{\hat{g},p,\delta}$ will denote the weighted Lebesgue norm $L^p_{\hat{g},\delta}$.

We also need to consider Sobolev norm $\|u\|_{2,p,\delta}$ relative to the following asymptotically flat metrics corresponding to Eqs. (2.1,2.3):

$$g = (1+v_1)[(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2] + (1+v_2) r^2 \sin^2\theta d^2\phi, \tag{2.6}$$

$$g = (1+v_3)[(1-2M/r)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2] + (1+v_2) r^2 \sin^2\theta d^2\phi. \tag{2.7}$$

In the rest of this section and in the next section we shall use Eq. (2.6) for g. Since the 3-measure of $\hat{g}$ in U is

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\det \hat{g}} d\theta d\phi dr &= F\sqrt{\det g} d\theta d\phi dr, \qquad (1 \le F \le C_1(1+r^2)), \\ &= F(1+v_1)^{1/2}(1+v_2)^{1/2} r^2 \sin\theta d\theta d\phi dr, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

functions in $W^{2,p}_{\delta}$ with finite $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{g},2,p,\delta}$ norm will be in $W^{2,p}_{\delta+2/p}$ with finite $\|\cdot\|_{2,p,\delta+2/p}$ norm for the asymptotically flat metric. These two norms are however not equivalent because $\sin\theta = 0$ kills the r^2 growth in F. Now to show the existence of a harmonic spinor suitable for proving the positive mass theorem for an asymptotically flat Riemannian 3-manifold Bartnik showed (Proposition 6.1 in [5], minding a typo) that the Dirac operator is an isomorphism from $W^{2,p}_{-\delta}$ onto $W^{1,p}_{-\delta-1}$ where $\delta \in (0,2)$. So for an asymptotically Melvin manifold with the metric independent of ϕ we seek harmonic spinors in the Sobolev spaces

$$\mathbb{W}^{2,p}_{-\delta} = \{\xi \in W^{2,p}_{-\delta} \mid \delta \in (2/p, 2-2/p), \partial_\phi \xi = 0\}.$$

We now state the main result. It is proved in the next section.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose the scalar curvature $R_{\hat{g}} \ge 0$ and $(\Sigma, \hat{g})$ is asymptotically Melvin with parameter $b > 0$ having finite number of asymptotic ends. Let $\epsilon \in (2/p, 2-2/p)$. Then the Dirac operator $D_{\hat{g}} : \mathbb{W}^{2,p}_{-\epsilon} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1-2/p}$ is an isomorphism onto the range of $D_{\hat{g}}$.*

If $b = 0$ we cannot decrease the exponent in the target space by $-2/p$ in the proof presented below. As a result we get the isomorphism $D_{\hat{g}} : \mathbb{W}^{2,p}_{-\epsilon} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1}$. If $b = 0$ then $\hat{g}$ is also asymptotically flat.

3. Existence of a harmonic spinor

We prove Theorem 2.1 using the method of Bartnik [5] and the fact that Fredholm property is the same for two bounded linear operators sufficiently close.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First we show that the Dirac operator of $\hat{g}$, namely $D_{\hat{g}}$, is a small perturbation in some appropriate sense of a "weighted" Dirac operator of

the asymptotically flat metric g given in Eq. (2.6) so that arguments as in Theorem 1.10 of Bartnik [5] prove the semi-Fredholm property of the $D_{\hat{g}}$ in case the later operator denoted D_g is semi-Fredholm. For simplicity let us first assume that we have only one end U. Let $\{e^i\}$ be an orthonormal frame field of 1-forms relative to $g = F^{-2}\overline{g} + F^2 X d\phi^2$ where we used Eqs. (2.1,2.6). In U we choose,

$$e^1 = (1+\nu_1)^{1/2} dr, e^2 = (1+\nu_1)^{1/2} r d\theta, e^3 = (1+\nu_2)^{1/2} r \sin\theta d\phi.$$

Then we see that $\{\hat{e}^i\}$ where $\hat{e}^i = F e^i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $\hat{e}^3 = F^{-1} e^3$ will be an orthonormal frame field of 1-forms relative to $\hat{g}$. We note that for the vectors of the dual frames, $\hat{e}_i = F^{-1} e_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $\hat{e}_3 = F e_3$. We note that

$$\hat{g}_{rr} = (1+\nu_1)F^2 = F^2 g_{rr}, \quad \hat{g}_{\theta\theta} = (1+\nu_1)F^2 r^2 = F^2 g_{\theta\theta}, \quad \hat{g}_{\phi\phi} = F^{-2} g_{\phi\phi}.$$

Let $\Gamma, \hat{\Gamma}$ be the Christoffel symbols of the metric $g, \hat{g}$ in coordinates $\{r, \theta, \phi\}$, where $A = 1, 2$ corresponding to r, θ.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma^{\phi}_{\phi\phi} = 0, \Gamma^{\phi}_{AB} = 0, \Gamma^{A}_{B\phi} = 0, \Gamma^{\phi}_{\phi A} = (1/2)(\partial \ln(XF^2)/\partial x^A), \\
&\hat{\Gamma}^{\phi}_{\phi\phi} = 0, \hat{\Gamma}^{\phi}_{AB} = 0, \hat{\Gamma}^{A}_{B\phi} = 0, \hat{\Gamma}^{\phi}_{\phi A} = (1/2)(\partial \ln X/\partial x^A).
\end{aligned}$$

We now give the relation between the "connection coefficients" $C_{mij} = \left\langle e_m, \nabla_{e_i} e_j \right\rangle_g$ and $\hat{C}_{mij} = \left\langle \hat{e}_m, \hat{\nabla}_{\hat{e}_i} \hat{e}_j \right\rangle_{\hat{g}}$. On $C, \hat{C}$ the indices refer to the corresponding frame fields. We take $A = 1, 2$. Since C_{ABC} and $\hat{C}_{ABC}$ are antisymmetric in A, C and $C_{3AB} = 0 = C_{A3B} = \hat{C}_{3AB} = \hat{C}_{AB3}$ we need to compute only $C_{2B1}, C_{33B}, \hat{C}_{2B1}$ and $\hat{C}_{33B}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}_{211} &= F^{-1} C_{211} + bO(F^{-2}\sin\theta\cos\theta) \text{ with } C_{211} = O(r^{-2}). \\
\hat{C}_{221} &= F^{-1} C_{221} + bO(F^{-2} r \sin^2\theta) \text{ with } C_{221} = O(r^{-1}). \\
\hat{C}_{332} &= F^{-1} C_{332} + bO(F^{-2} r \sin\theta\cos\theta). \\
\hat{C}_{331} &= F^{-1} C_{331} + bO(F^{-2} r \sin^2\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that on the elements of $\mathbb{C}^2$, Clifford multiplications $\hat{e}^{i}\hat{\cdot}$ and $e^{i}\cdot$ both means multiplication by the same ($\sqrt{-1}$ times) Pauli matrix $\sqrt{-1}\sigma_k$, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\hat{g}} &= \hat{e}^{i}\hat{\cdot}\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{e}_i} = \hat{e}^{i}\hat{\cdot}\left(\partial_{\hat{e}_i} - (1/4)\left\langle \hat{e}_m, \hat{\nabla}_{\hat{e}_i}\hat{e}_j\right\rangle_{\hat{g}} \hat{e}^{m}\hat{\cdot}\hat{e}^{j}\hat{\cdot}\right) \\
&= e^{i}\cdot\left(\partial_{\hat{e}_i} - (1/4)\left\langle \hat{e}_m, \hat{\nabla}_{\hat{e}_i}\hat{e}_j\right\rangle_{\hat{g}} e^{m}\cdot e^{j}\cdot\right) \\
&= e^{A}\cdot\left(\partial_{\hat{e}_A} - (1/4)\hat{C}_{mAj}e^{m}\cdot e^{j}\cdot\right) + e^{3}\cdot\left(\partial_{\hat{e}_3} - (1/4)\hat{C}_{m3j}e^{m}\cdot e^{j}\cdot\right) \\
&= e^{A}\cdot\left(\partial_{\hat{e}_A} - (1/4)\hat{C}_{BAC}e^{B}\cdot e^{C}\cdot\right) + e^{3}\cdot(\partial_{\hat{e}_3}) + O(r^{-1}) \text{ since } \hat{C}_{33A} = O(r^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1,2} F^{-1}e^{i}\cdot\nabla_{e_i} + Fe^{3}\cdot\partial_{e_3} + O(r^{-1}) + bO(F^{-2}r\sin^2\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^{\pi} F^{-2}r\sin^2\theta d\theta = (\pi/2)r(1+br^2)^{-3/2}$, in the average sense $O(F^{-2}r\sin^2\theta)$ is $o(r^{-1})$. Using the notation $O(r^{-1}) + bO(F^{-2}r\sin^2\theta) = O'(r^{-1})$ we thus have

$$D_{\hat{g}} = \sum_{i=1,2} F^{-1}e^{i}\cdot\nabla_{e_i} + Fe^{3}\cdot\partial_{e_3} + O'(r^{-1}). \tag{3.1}$$

We denote the operator $\sum_{i=1,2} F^{-1}e^{i}\cdot\nabla_{e_i} + Fe^{3}\cdot\partial_{e_3}$ by P. We note that $P = F^{-1}D_g + O(r^{-1}) : \mathbb{W}^{2,p}_{-\epsilon} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1-2/p}$ since spinors in $\mathbb{W}$ spaces are independent of ϕ, and $F^{-1}C_{33A} = O(r^{-1})$. Since g is asymptotically flat, $D_g : \mathbb{W}^{2,p}_{-\epsilon} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1}$ is a semi-Fredholm operator by Proposition 6.1 in [5]. As F^{-1} is bounded, so P is a bounded linear operator. To check the semi-Fredholm property of P, we first show that $F^{-1}D_g$ is semi-Fredholm. First we note that $\ker F^{-1}D_g = \{\xi \in \mathbb{W}^{2,p}_{-\epsilon} : F^{-1}D_g\xi = 0\}$ is finite dimensional because $\ker D_g$ is finite dimensional. Second we note that range of $F^{-1}D_g$, $F^{-1}D_g\left(\mathbb{W}^{2,p}_{-\epsilon}\right)$ is closed in $\mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1}$. This is because the range of $F^{-1}D_g$ is the set of spinors in the range of D_g multiplied by the bounded function F^{-1}, and the range of D_g is closed in $\mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1}$. Now the range of $F^{-1}D_g$ is a subset of $\mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1-2/p} \subset \mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1}$. So the range of $F^{-1}D_g$ is closed in $\mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1-2/p}$.

This establishes that $F^{-1}D_g$ is semi-Fredholm. Now the operator P is a perturbation of $F^{-1}D_g$ in the operator norm

$$\|P - F^{-1}D_g\|_{\text{op}} = \sup\left\{\|(P - F^{-1}D_g)u\|_{1,p,-\epsilon-1-2/p} : u \in \mathbb{W}^{2,p}_{-\epsilon}, \|u\|_{2,p,-\epsilon} = 1\right\}$$

because $\|P - F^1D_g\|_{\text{op},R} = o(1)$ as $R \to \infty$. Thus by the arguments of Theorem 1.10 of Bartnik [5] adapted to a first order operator (for general order see section 4 in Nirenberg and Walker [12]), P is semi-Fredholm. Since $D_{\hat{g}}$ is a perturbation of P

similar arguments shows that

$$D_{\hat{g}} : \mathbb{W}^{2,p}_{-\epsilon} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1-2/p} \tag{3.2}$$

is semi-Fredholm onto the range of $D_{\hat{g}}$. Next we show that the kernel of the adjoint operator $D^*_{\hat{g}}$ is trivial. Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Ker}\, D^*_{\hat{g}}$. After extending ψ to the whole of $\mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1-2/p}$,considering ψ to be an element of the dual space $W^{2,\hat{p}}_{\epsilon+2/p-2}$, and using the formal self-adjointness of the Dirac operator we see that $\psi \in \mathrm{Ker}\, D_{\hat{g}}$. (This dual space involves the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2,\hat{p},\epsilon+2/p-2}$ relative to the asymptotically flat metric. We recall that functions in $W^{2,\hat{p}}_{\epsilon-2}$ with finite $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{g},2,\hat{p},\epsilon-2}$ norm are in $W^{2,\hat{p}}_{\epsilon+2/p-2}$ with finite $\|\cdot\|_{2,\hat{p},\epsilon+2/p-2}$ norm). But $\hat{g}$ has nonnegative scalar curvature and ψ vanishes at infinity. So by virtue of the Weitzenböck-Lichnerowicz formula and maximum principle the kernel of $D^*_{\hat{g}}$ is trivial and hence the semi-Fredholm operator $D_{\hat{g}}$ is Fredholm. In fact Ker $D_{\hat{g}}$ is also trivial. Thus (3.2) is an isomorphism. To generalize the result for more than one end we define the Sobolev spaces with r extended in Σ so that it matches at all ends with the asymptotic radial coordinates (for details see p.230 in Parker and Taubes [13]). As stated before $(\Sigma, \hat{g})$ is globally axisymmetric. However for this proof, axisymmetry is necessary only at the ends of Σ. □

To get a suitable harmonic spinor we need the transformation formulas stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\hat{g} = \zeta^2 g$, then $D_{\hat{g}}(\zeta^{-1}\xi) = \zeta^{-2} D_g \xi$. If the spinor satisfies $\partial_\phi \xi = 0$ and $\hat{g} = \bar{g} + f d\phi^2, g = \bar{g} + q f d\phi^2$, then $D_{\hat{g}}(q^{-\frac{3}{8}}\xi) = q^{-\frac{3}{8}} D_g \xi$.*

In the above lemma the first formula is the well-known conformal transformation formula in 3 dimension. A derivation of the second formula can be found in [10].

Let ξ_0 be a spinor *constant near infinity* relative to the asymptotically flat metric g. In particular all partial derivatives of ξ_0 vanishes in U and we extend ξ_0 outside by keeping $\partial_\phi \xi_0 = 0$. We define $g_1 = F^2 g$. Taking $q = F^{-4}$ in Lemma 3.1 we get in U

$$D_{\hat{g}}(q^{-\frac{3}{8}} F^{-1} \xi_0) = q^{-\frac{3}{8}} D_{g_1}(F^{-1}\xi_0) = q^{-\frac{3}{8}} F^{-2} D_g \xi_0. \tag{3.3}$$

If we choose $\Theta_0 = q^{-\frac{3}{8}} F^{-1} \xi_0 = F^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_0$ then $D_{\hat{g}} \Theta_0 \in \mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon-1}$ for some $\epsilon \in (2/p, 2 - 2/p)$. We get a unique spinor $\widetilde{\Theta} \in \mathbb{W}^{1,p}_{-\epsilon}$ satisfying $D_{\hat{g}} \widetilde{\Theta} = D_{\hat{g}} \Theta_0$. So we get a nontrivial spinor $\Theta = \widetilde{\Theta} - \Theta_0$ harmonic relative to $\hat{g}$.

Remark 3.2. In the case more than one end one usually chooses the asymptotically constant spinor to be nonzero only at one end. We shall then take Θ to be the average of the harmonic spinors obtained.

4. A positive mass type theorem

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose* $(\Sigma, \hat{g})$ *is complete having a single end and the scalar curvature* $R_{\hat{g}} \geq 0$. *Let* Θ *be the harmonic spinor for* $\hat{g}$ *as stated before Remark 3.2. If* $b > 0$, *then*

$$b = \frac{3}{32\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \left(r^{-3} \int\limits_{\hat{g},\mathcal{B}_r} \left[R_{\hat{g}} ||\Theta||^2 + 4||\nabla_{\hat{g}}\Theta||^2 \right] \right). \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let $n_{\hat{g}}$ be the unit normal form relative to $\hat{g}$ on $\partial\mathcal{B}_r$ where $\mathcal{B}_r$ is a ball of large radius r in asymptotic region pointing in the direction of increasing r. By integrating the Weitzenbök-Lichnerowicz identity namely

$$2\Delta_{\hat{g}}||\Theta||^2 = R_{\hat{g}}||\Theta||^2 + 4||\nabla_{\hat{g}}\Theta||^2 \tag{4.2}$$

we get

$$\int\limits_{\hat{g},\partial\mathcal{B}_r} \frac{\partial||\Theta||^2}{\partial n_{\hat{g}}} \geq 0. \tag{4.3}$$

As before for some $\epsilon \in (2/p, 2-2/p)$, $\widetilde{\Theta} = \Theta + F^{1/2}\xi_0 \in W^{1,p}_{-\epsilon}$ where ξ_0 was defined before Eq. (3.3).

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Theta||^2 &= ||\widetilde{\Theta}||^2 - \left\langle \widetilde{\Theta}, \Theta_0 \right\rangle - \left\langle \Theta_0, \widetilde{\Theta} \right\rangle + ||\Theta_0||^2 \\ &= ||\widetilde{\Theta}||^2 - F^{1/2} \left\langle \widetilde{\Theta}, \xi_0 \right\rangle - F^{1/2} \left\langle \xi_0, \widetilde{\Theta} \right\rangle + F \end{aligned}$$

since for the asymptotically constant spinor $||\xi_0|| = 1$. Now $\widetilde{\Theta} \in W^{2,p}_{-\epsilon}$, and $n_{\hat{g}} = n_{\hat{g},r}dr = \sqrt{\overline{g}_{rr}}dr$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial||\Theta||^2}{\partial n_{\hat{g}}} &= \hat{g}^{jk}(||\Theta||^2)_j n_{\hat{g},k} = \sqrt{\overline{g}^{rr}} \frac{\partial||\Theta||^2}{\partial r} = \sqrt{\overline{g}^{rr}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} + O(r^{-\epsilon}) \\ &= 2F^{-1}br\sin^2\theta + O(r^{-\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{\hat{g},\partial\mathcal{B}_r} \frac{\partial\|\Theta\|^2}{\partial n_{\hat{g}}} &= 2\pi \int\limits_0^\pi \Big(2F^{-1}br\sin^2\theta + O(r^{-\epsilon})\Big) Fr^2\sin\theta d\theta \\ &= 2\pi \int\limits_0^\pi \Big(2br^3\sin^3\theta + O(r^{4-\epsilon})\Big) d\theta = \frac{16}{3}\pi br^3 + O(r^{4-\epsilon}).\end{aligned}$$

Since we can choose $\epsilon > 1$, from Eq. (4.3) we get Eq. (4.1). □

Remark 4.2. In this remark we explain the underlying principle how the harmonic spinor found in this paper can be used in the proof of the uniqueness magnetized Schwarzschild solution in [10] where its existence is assumed. Suppose $\zeta^\pm$ and $f^\pm$ are positive functions having decay as follows

$$\zeta^\pm = (1/4)(1 - M/r \pm \sqrt{1-2M/r})^2 F^{-2} \tag{4.4}$$

$$f^\pm = (1/4)(1 - M/r \pm \sqrt{1-2M/r})^2 r^2\sin^2\theta \tag{4.5}$$

If now $\hat{g}$ has decay as in Eq. (2.7) then the metric $\eta^+ = \zeta^+\overline{g} + f^+ d\phi^2$ is asymptotically flat with mass zero and $\eta^- = \zeta^-\overline{g} + f^- d\phi^2$ compactifies the infinity. If the scalar curvature $R_{\hat{g}} \geq 0$ is such that we also have $R_{\eta^+} \geq 0$ then positive mass theorem says η^+ is flat. η^- is necessary because for this uniqueness problem $\hat{g}$ is not complete but has a smooth totally geodesic surface. Now $(\Sigma, \hat{g})$ has two ends and a totally geodesic surface across which it is symmetric. If Θ be the the average harmonic spinor mentioned in Remark 3.2, then the normal derivative of $\|\Theta\|^2$ on the totally geodesic surface vanishes. Unfortunately from the field equations we cannot show $R_{\eta^\pm} \geq 0$ directly. But from Θ we get harmonic spinors relative to $\eta^\pm$ and exploiting their Weitzenbök-Lichnerowicz identities we can build two divergence form identities involving the expressions for $R_{\eta^\pm}$. On integration these identities give the uniqueness result by virtue of the properties of Θ on the totally geodesic surface and at infinity.

5. Conclusion

We showed the existence of harmonic spinors in some cylindrical 3-manifolds. Existence of such spinors are relevant in studying the uniqueness or rigidity theorems involving cylindrical geometry which is natural for a magnetic universe. Although the story is a simple one that the index of the elliptic operator is the same under some reasonable class of perturbations, in practice one needs to check

huge amount of computation before one can apply it in a specific situation. Hopefully the method will be useful in extending the black hole uniqueness theorems for static and stationary solutions in a magnetic universe. We also hope that the basic ideas of "compensating geometry" presented in this paper will inspire similar investigations in higher dimensions involving generalized Dirac-type operators and metrics not fully conformally related.

References

[1] R. Lockhart, R.C. McOwen. *Elliptic differential operators on noncompact manifolds*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Ser 4, 12:409–447, 1985.

[2] X. Ma, R.C. McOwen. *The Laplacian on complete manifolds with warped cylindrical ends*. Commun. Partial Diff. Eq., **16**(10):1583-1614, 1991.

[3] W.B. Bonnor. *Static Magnetic Fields in General Relativity*. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. **A 67**:225–232, 1954.

[4] M.A. Melvin. *Dynamics of Cylindrical Electromagnetic Universes*. Phys. Rev. **139**:B225–243, 1965.

[5] R. Bartnik. *The Mass of an Asymptotically Flat Manifold*. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **XXXIX**:661–693, 1986.

[6] R. Schoen, S.-T. Yau. *On the Proof of the Positive Mass Conjecture in General Relativity*. Commun. Math. Phys. **65**:45–76, 1979.

[7] K.S. Thorne. *Energy of infinitely long, cylindrically symmetric systems in general relativity*. Phys. Rev. **138**:B251–266, 1965.

[8] K.S. Thorne. *Absolute stability of Melvin's magnetic universe*. Phys. Rev. **139**:B244–254, 1965.

[9] I. Radinschi, I.-C. Yang. *On the Möller energy-momentum complex of the Melvin magnetic universe*. FIZIKA B **14**(3):311C-316, 2005.

[10] A. Masood-ul-Alam. *Uniqueness of magnetized Schwarzschild solution*. MSC preprint, Tsinghua University, 2013.
`http://msc.tsinghua.edu.cn/upload/news_2013514112519.pdf`

[11] C.G. Wells. *Extending the Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems I. Accelerating Black Holes: The Ernst Solution and C-Metric*. arXiv:gr-qc/9808044v1, 1998.

[12] L. Nirenberg, H.F. Walker. *The Null Spaces of Elliptic Partial Differential Operators in* $\mathbb{R}^n$. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **42**:271–301, 1973.

[13] T. Parker, C.H. Taubes. *On Witten's Proof of the Positive Energy Theorem*. Commun. Math. Phys. **84**:223–238, 1982.

♭ Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University
Haidian District, Beijing 100084, PRC.
E-mail address: abulm@math.tsinghua.edu.cn
♯School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University
Yangpu District, Shanghai 200433, PRC.
E-mail address: qizhiwang12@fudan.edu.cn